From the ...
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

REC'D PCT/PTO' 'D 8 APR 2005 17 MAY 2004

PIGROUP PATENTS DEP

## WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66)

14 Aug

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

14.05.2004

Applicant's	or agent's file reference

11112P3 WO/RH

BROWN, Andrew S.

**GRANDE BRETAGNE** 

Dansom Lane Hull HU8 7DS

RECKITT BENCKISER PLC Group Patents Department

REPLY DUE

within 3 month(s)

from the above date of mailing

International application No. PCT/GB 03/04433

International filing date (dey/month/year)

13.10.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)

12.10.2002

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

C11D17/00, C11D17/00

Applicant

To:

RECKITT BENCKISER INC et al.

		This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority			
2.			on contains indications relating to the following items:	TO: dm 9/9/04	
	l ⊠ Basis of the opinion		Basis of the opinion		
	П		Priority	CASE NUMBER	
	III   Non-establishment of opi		Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step	and industrial applicability	
	JV		Lack of unity of invention	DIARY dur.	
	٧		Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty; citations and explanations supporting such statement	T 55 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	lity;
	VI		Certain documents cited		1
	VII		Certain defects in the international application	INVOICE	4
	VIII		Certain observations on the international application	ACKNOW	1
3.	The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.		ant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.	RENEWALS	1
	When?		See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d).		<b>-</b>

By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3.

For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.

If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.

For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.

For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.

For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.

examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 12.02.2005

-

The final date by which the international preliminary

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

<u>)</u>

How?

Also:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Miller, B

Formalities officer (Incl. extension of time limits) Hanrieder-Kreuzer, K Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8081



## I. Basis of the opinion

1.	With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished in the control of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished in the control of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished in the control of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished in the control of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished in the control of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished in the control of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished in the control of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished in the control of the	tc
	the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally	
	filed"):	

	Description, Pages								
	1-10		as originally filed						
	Cla	ims, Numbers							
	1-13		filed with telefax on 22.04.2004						
2.	Wit lang	h regard to the <b>lang</b> u guage in which the in	age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	The	ese elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:						
		the language of pub	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).						
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:								
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.						
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.							
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.							
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.							
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.							
4.	3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:								
		the description,	pages:						
		the claims,	Nos.:						
		the drawings,	sheets:						
5.		This opinion has been been considered to	en established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).						
6.	Add	itional observations,	f necessary:						

- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

---- DOTIDEALION / 1----- 0004

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/04433

Novelty (N)

Claims

1-6,8-12

Inventive step (IS)

Claims

7

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

## Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT) The present application does not meet the criterion set forth in Article 33(2) PCT because the subject-matter of claims 1-6,8-12 is not new in respect of the prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64(1)-(3) PCT). The following documents disclose already compositions falling within the scope of present claims 1-6,8-12.
- WO-A-96/35771 (D1, examples) describes liquid thickened chlorine bleach a) compositions comprising Carbopol 695 (polyacrylate thickener), Laponite RD (clay thickener), NaOCI (active chlorine source), dodecyl diphenyloxide disulphonate and/or Hostapur SAS (anionic surfactants) and CaCO<sub>3</sub> (abrasive material).
- US-A- 4 695 394 (D2, table VI) depicts liquid thickened chlorine bleach compositions b) comprising Van GEL ES (clay thickener), NaOCI (active chlorine source), Ammonyx LO (amine oxide), Hostapur (anionic surfactant) and sand (abrasive material).
- c) **EP-A-649 898** (D3, examples) shows liquid thickened chlorine bleach compositions comprising Carbopol 1615 (polyacrylate thickener), KOCI (active chlorine source), lauryl soap (anionic surfactant), Barlox (amine oxide) and CaCO<sub>3</sub> (abrasive material).
- US-A-4 005 027 (D4, example II) exemplifies compositions comprising Barasym (clay d) thickener), NaOCI (active chlorine source), sodium lauryl alkyl sulfate (anionic surfactant) and sand (abrasive material). The pH of the compositions exemplified is 11.8 or 11.3 or 12.3 (example II, col. 12, lines 20-32).
- e) WO-A-95/08619 (D5, examples, e.g. page 22, lines 10-15) discloses a liquid thickened chlorine bleach compositions comprising polymer thickener (Carbopol). NaOCI (active chlorine source), sodium laurylate (anionic surfactant) and CaCO<sub>3</sub> (abrasive material) and a hydrotrop.
- 2. Inventive Step (Article 33(3) PCT)

Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses liquid thickened chlorine bleach compositions.

The subject-matter of present claim 7 differs from said claim by the use of an alternative thickening system (a polyacrylate/polyacrylamide mixture instead of a polyacrylate/clay mixture).



The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as to provide an alternative thickened bleaching composition.

The solution proposed in claim 7 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons.

It is generally known that polyacrylamide polymers can be used as thickener. Moreover, DE-A- 100 16 424 (D6, examples 10-12) demonstrates that such thickening polymers are even stable in bleaching compositions.

Since it is within the common practice of the skilled person to replace equivalent thickening agents, the replacement of a commonly used thickening agent such as clay by an alternative thickening agent such as a polyacrylamide does not contribute to an inventive step.

Moreover, the present application is devoid of any unexpected effects or surprising advantages due to the presence of polyacrylamide thickeners. An arbitrary replacement of equivalent thickeners cannot be regarded as inventive.

Therefore, the subject-matter of present claim 7 lacks an inventive step, contrary to Article 33(3) PCT.

- 3. Claim 13 referring back to the examples of the application is not allowable for lack of clarity (Article 6 PCT, Rule 6.2 PCT).
- When filing amended claims the applicant should at the same time bring the 4. description into conformity with the amended claims. Care should be taken during revision, especially of the introductory portion and any statements of problem or advantage, not to add subject-matter which extends beyond the content of the application as originally filed (Article 34(2)(b) PCT).

The applicant should clearly identify the amendments carried out, irrespective of whether they concern amendments by addition, replacement or deletion, and to indicate accurately the passages of the application as filed on which these amendments are based (Rule 66.8 (a) PCT; see the Guidelines, IV-7.2).

The amendments are preferred to be carried out in handwritten form on a copy of the relevant parts of the application as filed (Rule 66.8 (b) PCT) accompanied by a clean copy of the corresponding pages.